

**Environmental Assessment
Determinations and Compliance Findings
for HUD-assisted Projects
24 CFR Part 58**

Project Information

Project Name: Families-Together-Emergency-Shelter

HEROS Number: 900000010308936

Responsible Entity (RE): WAKE COUNTY, PO Box 550 Raleigh NC, 27602

RE Preparer: John Scales

State / Local Identifier:

Certifying Officer: David Ellis

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

Point of Contact:

Consultant (if applicable):

Point of Contact:

Project Location: 908 Plainview Dr, Raleigh, NC 27610

Additional Location Information:
N/A

Direct Comments to:

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

Families Together have requested funding from the City of Raleigh and Wake County to construct a building addition to their existing emergency shelter (four-unit addition). The new two-story addition will have four dwelling units, with three units being two-bedroom units and one a studio-style unit. The overall available emergency shelter units will total ten after the completion of this addition. Each dwelling will be fully furnished and have a full bathroom, kitchen, living room, and dining area. The Families Together emergency shelter site is in a quiet residential setting, and the facility includes a KABOOM! playground, basketball court, laundry facilities, and a community garden. This emergency shelter site is located .25 miles from the new proposed Wake Bus Rapid Transit (<https://www.goforwardnc.org/wake-county/>) along New Bern Avenue. For low-income families, proximity to reliable public transportation is vital to their ability to access better paying jobs and to achieve financial stability. The 30 to 90-day shelter service plan (not part of Wake County's funding) consists of the following steps: * The family referred by Coordinated Access System - Families Together will schedule an intake interview within one to two days. * Shelter Mentor Advocate conducts in-depth needs assessment. * Mentor Advocate enrolls the family and schedules a move-in date. * Mentor Advocate connects families with basic needs assistance such as food and clothing. * Clients are invited to attend Families Together workshops offered in tracks (Housing, Financial Literacy, and Employment Support). * Facilities Manager ensures shelter units are maintained. * The family moves to permanent housing with assistance from a Rapid Re-housing provider. * After moveout, the apartment is cleaned within three days. * The Families Together Program Director reviews outstanding income referrals in the Homeless Management Information System for families in need of emergency shelter, and priority given to those with a high priority status. Families Together has ownership of the project site. Ground disturbance will be necessary to construct the proposed building addition.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The purpose of the funding by Wake County is to allow PLM Families Together to construct a two-story addition to an existing quadruplex apartment building currently utilized as an emergency shelter facility. The addition will create four new units: two two-bedroom units on the upper floor, one two-bedroom unit on the lower floor, and one efficiency unit on the lower floor. This project will double the number of units, but will not fulfill the need for adequate emergency shelter for the Raleigh area.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

Development in the immediate area is undeveloped or residential use. The property is zoned RX-3-CU, Mixed-Use Residential. Properties beyond approximately 1,000 feet of the project site are mixed commercial use consisting of hotels, gas stations, restaurants, manufacturing and other commercial businesses. The project site is the location of an existing Families Together facility that proposes to expand by adding on additional units to an existing apartment style building. The proposed project will be consistent with existing land use.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

[02_908 Plainview Dr - Wake Co iMaps.pdf](#)

[02_908 Plainview Dr - Google Maps.pdf](#)

Determination:

✓	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

[Signed_EA Determinations and Compliance Findings Signature Doc_Families Together Emergency Shelter.pdf](#)

**7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer
on:**

**7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer
on:**

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
1B-23-UC-37-0001	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	\$0.00

**Estimated Total HUD Funded, \$170,000.00
Assisted or Insured Amount:**

This project anticipates the use of funds or assistance from another federal agency in addition to HUD in the form of:

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: \$932,000.00

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6		
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. Wake County is not a coastal barrier county in NC.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5		
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act, as the project will not create five or more dwelling units. The project will add four new units. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. Wake County, NC historically has been a 1-hour ozone (1979, revoked on June 17, 1984), 8-hour ozone (1997 revoked on December 26, 2007), and carbon monoxide (1971, transportation conformity expired on

		September 18, 2015). Wake County was entered into a carbon monoxide (CO) Limited Maintenance Plan, as indicated in the attached letter dated August 2, 2012. This letter indicates that Wake County is well below the CO National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and introduced a State Implementation Plan (SIP), which outlined current levels of emissions.
Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. Wake County is not one of the 20 Coastal Zone Management counties in NC.
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Endangered Species Act Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project has been determined to have No Effect on listed species. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act without mitigation. Per Axiom's survey report, "No critical habitat for any species is present within the site. The USFWS has determined that surveys for all species remain valid for two years, unless otherwise agreed upon during consultation with the USFWS."
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	There are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of the project site. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. A Phase I ESA was completed by Draper Aden Associates, A TRC Company, on March 21, 2023. The findings found no evidence of explosive or flammable hazards at the project site or immediate vicinity. There are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of

		the project site. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project includes activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use, but an exemption applies. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988. This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Please note, a new Programmatic Agreement (PA) with NCSHPO is in affect between Wake County and NCSHPO. Please see supporting documentation.
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The Preliminary Screening identified no noise generators in the vicinity of the project. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. The project is not located within 1,000 feet of a major highway (I-440) or roadway (New Bern Avenue). The project is within 3,000 feet of a railroad. Utilizing data provided by the railroad operator, the HUD DNL calculator was utilized to calculate the Railroad DNL which was 49.0 dB (below the 65 dB mitigation threshold). See correspondence from railroad operator and associated DNL Calculation Worksheet. The project is within 15 miles of an RDU Airport. However, it is not in an area exposed to excessive noise from the airport, as measured by average daily decibel levels. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

<p>Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.</p>
<p>Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. The following statement was provided to Wake County from Axiom's Jurisdictional Area Delineation survey report. "A constructed ditch/potentially jurisdictional stream with Ordinary High Water Mark indicators including sediment sorting and wracking, is aligned with the eastern boundary of the Site. This feature is approximately depicted on the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) mapping of Wake County (1970, map 50); however, it is not shown on the US Geological Survey 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle [Raleigh East, NC (1987)]. The flow regime of this feature is classified as ephemeral, flowing only after precipitation events, and lacks significant biologic and hydrologic characteristics; therefore, no riparian buffer rules are applicable. Ephemeral channels are generally not regulated by the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and mitigation for impacts to these features is generally not required. No wetland areas are depicted on National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) mapping and no wetlands associated with the ephemeral channel depicted on NRCS mapping were identified within the Site. A Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination Package suitable for soliciting verification from the USACE and a Neuse River Riparian Buffer Determination (RBD) package suitable for soliciting verification of flow regime and riparian buffer applicability from the NC Department of Environmental Quality have been prepared for the Site.</p>

		The NCDEQ visited the Site on November 27, 2023 and verified that no intermittent or perennial streams or riparian buffers are present within the Site." Please note, Axiom discussed their findings with HUD Greensboro before the above statement was written in their final report.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.
HUD HOUSING ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS		
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE		
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. Based on the information collected and reviewed, this project will not create an adverse and disproportionate environmental impact or aggravate an existing impact. The overwhelming benefit is affordable housing needed for this community.

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact – May require mitigation
- (4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
LAND DEVELOPMENT			
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning	2	The site is zoned RX-3-CU, Mixed-Use Residential. The project is an expansion of an existing facility that meets existing zoning requirements. The expansion will mimic	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
/ Scale and Urban Design		existing design aesthetics. The project adheres to the City's 2030 Comprehensive Plan in that it intends to "Provide supportive services and facilities to Raleigh's families, elderly, special needs, and others in need of adaptive services that contribute to their quality of life." The subject property is served by a water main that crosses a property line, which is now a legal non-conformity. The planned upgrades in this project will necessitate either an extension of a water main to the west in Plainview Drive or a recombination of the two properties to create one property with two buildings and eliminate a service running across a property line.	
Soil Suitability / Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff	2	The Phase I ESA identifies the soil types on the site and the soil drainage characteristics. The predominant soil type on the site is Wake-Rolesville complex. Soils in this group have high runoff potential when thoroughly wet and water movement through the soil is restricted. The proposed project is an expansion of an existing facility that has exhibited no signs of excessive settlement or poor drainage. The Sedimentation Pollution Control Act of 1973 must be properly addressed for any land disturbing activity. An erosion & sedimentation control plan will be required if one or more acres are to be disturbed. Plan must be filed with and approved by applicable Regional Office (Land Quality Section) at least 30 days before beginning activity. A NPDES Construction Stormwater permit (NCG010000) is also usually issued should design features meet minimum requirements. Accounting for the applicable design and installation of appropriate perimeter sediment trapping devices as well as stable Stormwater conveyances and outlets, no adverse environmental impacts are anticipated.	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site-Generated Noise	2	A Phase I ESA did not find any Recognizable Environmental Concerns (RECs) present at the site. NEPAssist did not identify any known hazards or nuisance (hazardous waste, water dischargers, toxic releases, Superfund or Brownfield sites, and toxic substances) violations that would create an unsafe environment for the workers and residents of this development. The only project-related noise will be generated during construction by machinery and equipment. Activities will also generate minor amounts of dust and dirt; appropriate mitigation measures will be utilized to minimize dust and dirt. Garbage and construction debris will be collected and disposed of according to appropriate local, state, and federal regulations. Demolition or renovations of structures containing asbestos material must be in compliance with 15 A NCAC 20.1110 (a) (1) which requires notification and removal prior to demolition. Contact Asbestos Control Group 919-707-5950.	
SOCIOECONOMIC			
Employment and Income Patterns	2	The project will increase temporary construction labor needs. Once built, additional employment may be needed to assist in the maintenance of common areas of the buildings. The project would be anticipated to draw a local labor force. In this manner, it would not greatly impact the local economy through the influx of workers or expenditures associated with the construction period. The project will create four family housing units in a central area that is serviced by public transportation, allowing potential residents access to jobs, retail, and community services.	
Demographic Character Changes / Displacement	2	As the overall project will have a limited construction timeframe and anticipates the use of local labor, the project would not likely impact the local physical, and social	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		dimensions of the community during construction. The project is an infill development and would therefore not displace any current residents. The project will provide emergency shelter near jobs, services, and transportation. In this manner, the project will not concentrate and/or isolate low-income or disadvantaged people.	
Environmental Justice EA Factor	2	The project will create four emergency shelter units for low-income/disadvantaged families in an area that is serviced by public transportation, allowing potential residents access to jobs, retail, and community services. The project will not change existing land use.	
COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES			
Educational and Cultural Facilities (Access and Capacity)	2	The proposed four-unit facility addition will slightly contribute to the local school district's student population. The following Wake County Public Schools are located within 3 miles of the proposed development: Powell Elementary (2.4 miles); Longview Elementary School (2.8 miles) River Oaks Middle School (1.4 miles); Wake Early College of Health and Sciences (1.2 miles); and Enloe Magnet High School (2.2 miles).	
Commercial Facilities (Access and Proximity)	2	The facility addition will be conveniently located near amenities such as Food Lion, Wal-Mart Supercenter, CVS pharmacy, Wells Fargo Bank and Metro by T-Mobile and other retail businesses. The site is located 3.5 miles northeast of the Raleigh central business district.	
Health Care / Social Services (Access and Capacity)	2	The site is located approximately 2 miles from the WakeMed Raleigh Campus. The small increase in population related to the proposed project will be de minimis to Wake County EMS services. Families Together will serve as the on-site service provider responsible for coordinating activities for all residents as well as connecting more vulnerable residents with appropriate supplemental services. PLM Families	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		Together Inc. will serve as the on-site property manager.	
Solid Waste Disposal and Recycling (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	Construction activities will be conducted so as to minimize run-off and safely dispose of waste products. Any waste generated during construction will be handled/disposed per all applicable governmental regulations.	
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The project will connect to existing wastewater and sanitary sewer systems in accordance with state and local building codes. Demand increase due to the project will be de minimis. Plans and specifications for the construction, expansion, or alteration of a public water system must be approved by the Division of Water Resources/Public Water Supply Section prior to the award of a contract or the initiation of construction as per 15A NCAC 18C .0300 et. seq., Plans and specifications should be submitted to 1634 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1634. All public water supply systems must comply with state and federal drinking water monitoring requirements. For more information, contact the Public Water Supply Section, (919) 707-9100. If existing water lines will be relocated during the construction, plans for the water line relocation must be submitted to the Division of Water Resources/Public Water Supply Section at 1634 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1634. For more information, contact the Public Water Supply Section, (919) 707-9100.	
Water Supply (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The project will connect to the City of Raleigh's public utilities water supply. As a result of this new shelter addition, the impact on increased demand will be de minimis.	
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	2	The small increase in population related to the proposed project will be de minimis to Public Safety programs. The following entities were consulted and provided a determination of no impact anticipated: City	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		of Raleigh Police Department, Wake County Fire Services.	
Parks, Open Space and Recreation (Access and Capacity)	2	The Families Together facility features a children's playground. The site is located approximately two miles from Hill Street Park.	
Transportation and Accessibility (Access and Capacity)	2	The City of Raleigh is in the process of developing and implementing a bus rapid transit (BRT) project along the New Bern Avenue corridor to connect the Raleigh central business district with the WakeMed Raleigh campus and New Hope Road, a total span of approximately 5.1 miles. BRT service is planned to improve access for low-income residents, senior citizens, and other underserved populations to major employers and medical facilities in the corridor. Residents of this proposed housing development will benefit from this faster, more efficient transit option. The development is also located near Interstate 440, to provide easy access to other areas of the Triangle region.	
NATURAL FEATURES			
Unique Natural Features /Water Resources	2	Best management practices will be used to minimize the potential for contaminants to make their way into surface water and/or groundwater during construction. The UDO stormwater requirements help to manage downstream flood impacts and maintain water quality in the Neuse River drainage area as well as the basin of its tributary Crabtree Creek, where the site is located. During mass grading activities and construction of new development, the City of Raleigh also requires sediment control devices be installed to ensure that denuded areas of the site do not degrade downstream water quality prior to the completion of the on-site drainage network. There are no mapped streams or wetlands within the project area.	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Vegetation / Wildlife (Introduction, Modification, Removal, Disruption, etc.)	2	Axiom Environmental conducted a site visit on September 21, 2023, and their jurisdictional area delineation and federally protected species survey has been uploaded below. Vegetation within the forested upland area of the Site includes a canopy dominated by hickory (<i>Carya</i> sp.), American sycamore (<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>), white oak (<i>Quercus alba</i>), and sweetgum (<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>). The midstory layer consists of hickory, sweetgum, red mulberry (<i>Morus rubra</i>), Japanese privet (<i>Ligustrum japonicum</i>), and Chinese privet (<i>Ligustrum sinense</i>). Species observed within the herbaceous and vine layer include blackberry (<i>Rubus fruticosus</i>), muscadine (<i>Vitis rotundifolia</i>), poison ivy (<i>Toxicodendron radicans</i>), crossvine (<i>Bignonia capreolata</i>), Japanese stiltgrass (<i>Microstegium vimineum</i>), Japanese honeysuckle (<i>Lonicera japonica</i>), Virginia creeper (<i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i>), and Christmas fern (<i>Polystichum acrostichoides</i>). Vegetation within the maintained lawn includes fescue (<i>Fescue</i> sp.) and ornamentals including boxwood (<i>Buxus</i> sp.) and mahonia (<i>Mahonia</i> sp.). Axiom confirmed that no critical habitat for any listed species is present within the site.	
Other Factors 1	2	No other factors know that would adversely impact this proposed development.	
Other Factors 2		Intentionally left blank.	
CLIMATE AND ENERGY			
Climate Change	2	As to the impact of climate change, this development will adhere to current zoning and building code regulations to ensure safe and resilient structures.	
Energy Efficiency	2	This development will adhere to current zoning and building code regulations to ensure utilization of energy efficient building components. Building materials and practices will incorporate the latest adopted building codes and green energy appliances	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		where applicable. The increase in energy consumption at the facility will be de minimis.	

Supporting documentation

[Raleigh Engineering Response 908 Plainview.pdf](#)
[Axiom 908 Plainview Site Delineation TE Survey Results 231013.pdf](#)
[Request for HUD ER Response_CAP\(1\).pdf](#)
[Catawba Letter Response FT Emergency Shelter\(1\).docx](#)
[Families Together Phase 1\(2\).pdf](#)
[Request for HUD ER_Police_Response_FT.pdf](#)
[Request for HUD ER_Fire_Response_FT.pdf](#)
[Request for HUD ER_Health and Human Services_FT.pdf](#)
[Request for HUD ER_EMS_FT.pdf](#)
[Request for HUD ER_EMS Email Comments_FT.pdf](#)

Additional Studies Performed:

Phase I ESA Investigation of Potential Federally Protected Species Habitat Survey
(third party survey)

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed
by:

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Wake County EMS, Raleigh Fire Department, Wake County Health and Human Services, NC Natural Heritage Program, Raleigh Police Department, Raleigh Planning Department, Wake County Public School System, GoTriangle Public Transportation, City of Raleigh Water Department, Raleigh Parks and Recreation, Wake County Soil and Water Conservation District, Raleigh Public Works Department, NC Department of Transportation, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Fish and Wildlife, Catawba Indian Nation, Capital Area Preservation, Wake County Fires Services, Axiom Environmental Services, USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey, Wetlands Mapper, * North Carolina State Historic Preservation Office, Renee Gledhill-Earley * USF&WS IPAC Species List <https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov/> * USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey Application <https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm> * USF&WS National Wetlands Inventory Map <https://fwsprimary.wim.usgs.gov/wetlands/apps/wetlands-mapper/> * National Park Service Public Lands Map <https://www.nps.gov/maps/full.html?mapId=8adbe798-0d7e-40fb-bd48->

225513d64977 * USGS Public Lands Map
<https://www.arcgis.com/apps/mapviewer/index.html?webmap=899994a53783460d8a60b52aaefa6c2d> * National Wild and Scenic Rivers System Map
<https://www.rivers.gov/map.php> * USEPA Sole Source Aquifer Map
<https://epa.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=9ebb047ba3ec41ada1877155fe31356b> * USEPA Green Book - Nonattainment Areas for Criteria Pollutants
<https://www.epa.gov/green-book> * Carolina Coastal Railway, Short Line Railroad Alan Bridgers, abridgers@regional-rail.com * Raleigh, NC iMAPS
<https://maps.raleighnc.gov/imaps/> * USEPA NEPA Assist Tool
<https://www.epa.gov/nepa/nepassist> * North Carolina Natural Heritage Program
<https://www.ncnhp.org/> * City of Raleigh 2030 Comprehensive Plan
<https://raleighnc.gov/planning/2030-comprehensive-plan> * NCDEQ Attainment Status of National Ambient Air Quality Standards <https://deq.nc.gov/about/divisions/air-quality/air-quality-planning/attainment-status-national-ambient-air-quality-standards>
* HUD DNL Calculator <https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/dnl-calculator/>

List of Permits Obtained:

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

Wake County will post a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) and a Request for Release of Funds (RROF) for the required period before forwarding to HUD in Greensboro, NC. Wake County will post the FONSI and RROF on the County's website (<http://www.wakegov.com/housing/Pages/plansdocs.aspx>).

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

PLM Families Together Inc. will construct an expansion to the existing Families Together facility at 908 Plainview Road in Raleigh, NC. The expansion will connect to an existing building providing an additional 4 apartment style units. While the project will entail dust, dirt, and noise during implementation, the activity will not have significant impact on the environment and is consistent with the City of Raleigh's 2030 Comprehensive Plan.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

There are no alternatives or project modifications being considered at this time. The goal is to provide emergency shelter for a vulnerable population.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

The project site will exist "as-is" as an existing residential facility. Environmental conditions would remain unchanged.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

The project will entail temporary noise, dirt, and dust, but it will benefit the community by providing transitional housing in proximity to transit. The project will comply with all environmental regulations outlined in this review. This project will have no significant impact upon the environment.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Mitigation Plan	Complete
---------------------------------	---------------------------------	---	--------------------	----------

Project Mitigation Plan

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to prevent incompatible development around civil airports and military airfields.		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.

Supporting documentation

[Airport Hazards_Distance to Seymour Johnson AFB_908 Plainview Drive.pdf](#)

[Airport Hazards_Distance to RDU Airport_908 Plainview Drive.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be used for most activities in units of the Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations on federal expenditures affecting the CBRS.	Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) of 1982, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	

1. Is the project located in a CBRS Unit?

✓ No

Document and upload map and documentation below.

Yes

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. Wake County is not a coastal barrier county in NC.

Supporting documentation

[Coastal Barriers Map.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be used in floodplains unless the community participates in National Flood Insurance Program and flood insurance is both obtained and maintained.	Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 as amended (42 USC 4001-4128)	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1) and 24 CFR 58.6(a) and (b); 24 CFR 55.1(b).

1. Does this project involve financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

✓ Yes

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

[Flood Insurance FEMA Firmette Map TP.pdf](#)

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The [FEMA Map Service Center](#) provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.

Supporting documentation

[Flood Insurance_Wake Co GIS Map_TP.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which sets national standards on ambient pollutants. In addition, the Clean Air Act is administered by States, which must develop State Implementation Plans (SIPs) to regulate their state air quality. Projects funded by HUD must demonstrate that they conform to the appropriate SIP.	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et seq.) as amended particularly Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC 7506(c) and (d))	40 CFR Parts 6, 51 and 93

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Air Quality Attainment Status of Project's County or Air Quality Management District

2. Is your project's air quality management district or county in non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants?

☒ No, project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants.

Yes, project's management district or county is in non-attainment or maintenance status for the following criteria pollutants (check all that apply):

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act, as the project will not create five or more dwelling units. The project will add four new units. The project is in compliance with the Clean

Air Act. Wake County, NC historically has been a 1-hour ozone (1979, revoked on June 17, 1984), 8-hour ozone (1997 revoked on December 26, 2007), and carbon monoxide (1971, transportation conformity expired on September 18, 2015). Wake County was entered into a carbon monoxide (CO) Limited Maintenance Plan, as indicated in the attached letter dated August 2, 2012. This letter indicates that Wake County is well below the CO National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and introduced a State Implementation Plan (SIP), which outlined current levels of emissions.

Supporting documentation

[Air Quality_8 hour Ozone_2015.pdf](#)

[Air Quality_PM2 5_2012.pdf](#)

[Air Quality_Sulfur Dioxide_2010.pdf](#)

[Air Quality_Lead_2008.pdf](#)

[Air Quality_Carbon Monoxide_1971.pdf](#)

[Air Quality_Nitrogen Dioxide_1971.pdf](#)

[Air Quality_Wake Co by Each Year.pdf](#)

[Air Quality_CO Limited Maintenance Plan_2012_08_02.pdf](#)

[Air Quality_United States Map Designations.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant agencies for activities affecting any coastal use or resource is granted only when such activities are consistent with federally approved State Coastal Zone Management Act Plans.	Coastal Zone Management Act (16 USC 1451-1464), particularly section 307(c) and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and (d))	15 CFR Part 930

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. Wake County is not one of the 20 Coastal Zone Management counties in NC.

Supporting documentation

[Coastal Zone Management.pdf](#)

[CAMA Counties Map.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being proposed for use in HUD programs be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property.		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2) 24 CFR 50.3(i)

1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)
 ASTM Phase II ESA
 Remediation or clean-up plan
 ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening
 None of the Above

2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

☒ No

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Supporting documentation

[Families Together Phase 1.pdf](#)

[Contamination and Toxic Substances_ECHO Report30_FT.pdf](#)

[Contamination and Toxic Substances_ECHO Report29_FT.pdf](#)

[Contamination and Toxic Substances_ECHO Report28_FT.pdf](#)

[Contamination and Toxic Substances_ECHO Report27_FT.pdf](#)

[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report26_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report25_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report24_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report23_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report22_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report21_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report20_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report19_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report18_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report17_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report16_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report15_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report14_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report13_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report12_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report11_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report10_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report9_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report8_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report7_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report6_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report5_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report4_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report3_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report2_FT.pdf](#)
[Contamination and Toxic Substances ECHO Report1_FT.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) mandates that federal agencies ensure that actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out shall not jeopardize the continued existence of federally listed plants and animals or result in the adverse modification or destruction of designated critical habitat. Where their actions may affect resources protected by the ESA, agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").	The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 <i>et seq.</i>); particularly section 7 (16 USC 1536).	50 CFR Part 402

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect species or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

- ✓ Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat

- ✓ Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area.

3. What effects, if any, will your project have on federally listed species or designated critical habitat?

- ✓ No Effect: Based on the specifics of both the project and any federally listed species in the action area, you have determined that the project will have absolutely no effect on listed species or critical habitat. in the action area.

Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below. Documentation should include a species list and explanation of your conclusion, and may require maps, photographs, and surveys as appropriate

May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect: Any effects that the project may have on federally listed species or critical habitats would be beneficial, discountable, or insignificant.

Likely to Adversely Affect: The project may have negative effects on one or more listed species or critical habitat.

6. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. This information will be automatically included in the Mitigation summary for the environmental review. If negative effects cannot be mitigated, cancel the project using the button at the bottom of this screen.

Mitigation as follows will be implemented:

- ✓ No mitigation is necessary.

Explain why mitigation will not be made here:

The project has been determined to have No Effect on federally listed species or designated critical habitat. Furthermore, the Sedimentation Pollution Control Act of 1973 will be properly addressed for any land disturbing activity as required. An erosion and sedimentation control The project has been determined to have No Effect on

Screen

federally listed species or designated critical habitat. Furthermore, the Sedimentation Pollution Control Act of 1973 will be properly addressed for any land disturbing activity as required. An erosion and sedimentation control plan will be required if one or more acres are to be disturbed. The plan must be filed with and approved by applicable Regional Office (Land Quality Section) at least 30 days before beginning activity. A NPDES Construction Stormwater permit (NCG010000) is also usually issued should design features meet minimum requirements. Accounting for the applicable design and installation of appropriate perimeter sediment trapping devices as well as stable stormwater conveyances and outlets, no adverse environmental impacts are anticipated.

Summary

Compliance Determination

This project has been determined to have No Effect on listed species. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act without mitigation. Per Axiom's survey report, "No critical habitat for any species is present within the site. The USFWS has determined that surveys for all species remain valid for two years, unless otherwise agreed upon during consultation with the USFWS."

Supporting documentation

[Axiom Survey Attachments.pdf](#)
[Axiom 908 Plainview Site Delineation TE Survey Results 231218\(1\).pdf](#)
[Endangered Species_Species Conclusion Table.pdf](#)
[Endangered Species_Listed species Wake North Carolina.pdf](#)
[Endangered Species_Species List_Raleigh Ecological Services Field Office.pdf](#)
[Endangered Species_NCDNCR Review.pdf](#)
[Endangered Species_Fish and Wildlife Service Self Cert Letter.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) requirements to protect them from explosive and flammable hazards.	N/A	24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

✓ No

Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

No

✓ Yes

3. Within 1 mile of the project site, are there any current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers that are covered by 24 CFR 51C? Containers that are NOT covered under the regulation include:

- Containers 100 gallons or less in capacity, containing common liquid industrial fuels OR

- Containers of liquified petroleum gas (LPG) or propane with a water volume capacity of 1,000 gallons or less that meet the requirements of the 2017 or later version of National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Code 58.

If all containers within the search area fit the above criteria, answer "No." For any other type of aboveground storage container within the search area that holds one of the flammable or explosive materials listed in Appendix I of 24 CFR part 51 subpart C, answer "Yes."

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

There are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of the project site. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. A Phase I ESA was completed by Draper Aden Associates, A TRC Company, on March 21, 2023. The findings found no evidence of explosive or flammable hazards at the project site or immediate vicinity. There are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of the project site. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Supporting documentation

[Families Together Phase 1\(1\).pdf](#)

[Explosive and Flammable Hazards Google Earth No AGT Observed FT.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) discourages federal activities that would convert farmland to nonagricultural purposes.	Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201 et seq.)	7 CFR Part 658

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

☒ Yes

No

2. Does your project meet one of the following exemptions?

- Construction limited to on-farm structures needed for farm operations.
- Construction limited to new minor secondary (accessory) structures such as a garage or storage shed
- Project on land already in or committed to urban development or used for water storage. (7 CFR 658.2(a))

☒ Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project includes activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use, but an exemption applies. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

Supporting documentation

[Farmland Protection_Web Soil Survey Report_FT.pdf](#)
[Farmland Protection_TigerWeb Urban Areas Map_FT.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, requires federal activities to avoid impacts to floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development to the extent practicable.	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

- 55.12(c)(3)
- 55.12(c)(4)
- 55.12(c)(5)
- 55.12(c)(6)
- 55.12(c)(7)
- 55.12(c)(8)
- 55.12(c)(9)
- 55.12(c)(10)
- 55.12(c)(11)

✓ None of the above

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

[Flood Insurance_FEMA Firmette Map_TP.pdf](#)

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988. This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.

Supporting documentation

[Flood Insurance Wake Co GIS Map TP\(1\).pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) require a consultative process to identify historic properties, assess project impacts on them, and avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects	Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f)	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic Properties" https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-vol3-part800.pdf

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

- ✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation

Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Not Required

- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Not Required

- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

- ✓ Catawba Indian Nation Completed

✓ Other Consulting Parties

✓ Capital Area Preservation

Completed

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

See concurrence letters from Catawba and Capital Area below.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes

No

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

908 Plainview Drive, Raleigh, NC 27610

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location / District	National Register Status	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive Information
----------------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------	--------------------------

Additional Notes:

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the

project?

Yes

✓ No

Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

✓ No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Please note, a new Programmatic Agreement (PA) with NCSHPO is in affect between Wake County and NCSHPO. Please see supporting documentation.

Supporting documentation

[Historic Preservation_SHPO Response ER-23-0410.pdf](#)

[SHPO and Wake County Signed Agreement.pdf](#)
[Request for HUD ER Response_CAP.pdf](#)
[CAP Letter_FT Emergency Shelter.docx](#)
[Catawba Letter_Response_FT Emergency Shelter.docx](#)
[Catawba Letter_FT Emergency Shelter.docx](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect residential properties from excessive noise exposure. HUD encourages mitigation as appropriate.	Noise Control Act of 1972 General Services Administration Federal Management Circular 75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at Federal Airfields"	Title 24 CFR 51 Subpart B

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

- ☒ New construction for residential use

NOTE: HUD assistance to new construction projects is generally prohibited if they are located in an Unacceptable zone, and HUD discourages assistance for new construction projects in Normally Unacceptable zones. See 24 CFR 51.101(a)(3) for further details.

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster
None of the above

4. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000' from a major road, 3000' from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

Indicate the findings of the Preliminary Screening below:

- ☒ There are no noise generators found within the threshold distances above.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map showing the location of the project relative to any noise generators below.

Noise generators were found within the threshold distances.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The Preliminary Screening identified no noise generators in the vicinity of the project. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. The project is not located within 1,000 feet of a major highway (I-440) or roadway (New Bern Avenue). The project is within 3,000 feet of a railroad. Utilizing data provided by the railroad operator, the HUD DNL calculator was utilized to calculate the Railroad DNL which was 49.0 dB (below the 65 dB mitigation threshold). See correspondence from railroad operator and associated DNL Calculation Worksheet. The project is within 15 miles of an RDU Airport. However, it is not in an area exposed to excessive noise from the airport, as measured by average daily decibel levels. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

Supporting documentation

[Noise Abatement and Control Subject Site distance to Railroad.pdf](#)
[Noise Abatement and Control Subject Site distance to Major Roadway New Bern Avenue.pdf](#)
[Noise Abatement and Control Subject Site distance to Major Hwy I440.pdf](#)
[Noise Abatement and Control Subject Site and RDU Noise Contour Map.pdf](#)
[Noise Abatement and Control Carolina Coastal Railway Response.pdf](#)
[Noise Abatement and Control Subject Site Railroad DNL Calculator.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 protects drinking water systems which are the sole or principal drinking water source for an area and which, if contaminated, would create a significant hazard to public health.	Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 201, 300f et seq., and 21 U.S.C. 349)	40 CFR Part 149

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

- Yes
- ✓ No

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

- ✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.

Supporting documentation

[SOLESO~1.PDF](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or indirect support of new construction impacting wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a primary screening tool, but observed or known wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also be processed Off-site impacts that result in draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands must also be processed.	Executive Order 11990	24 CFR 55.20 can be used for general guidance regarding the 8 Step Process.

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

✓ Yes

2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. The following statement was provided to Wake County from Axiom's Jurisdictional Area Delineation survey report. "A constructed ditch/potentially jurisdictional stream with Ordinary High Water Mark indicators including sediment sorting and wracking, is aligned with the eastern boundary of the Site. This feature is approximately depicted on the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) mapping of Wake County (1970, map 50); however, it is not shown on the US Geological Survey 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle [Raleigh East, NC (1987)]. The flow regime of this feature is classified as ephemeral, flowing only after precipitation events, and lacks significant biologic and hydrologic characteristics; therefore, no riparian buffer rules are applicable. Ephemeral channels are generally not regulated by the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and mitigation for impacts to these features is generally not required. No wetland areas are depicted on National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) mapping and no wetlands associated with the ephemeral channel depicted on NRCS mapping were identified within the Site. A Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination Package suitable for soliciting verification from the USACE and a Neuse River Riparian Buffer Determination (RBD) package suitable for soliciting verification of flow regime and riparian buffer applicability from the NC Department of Environmental Quality have been prepared for the Site. The NCDEQ visited the Site on November 27, 2023 and verified that no intermittent or perennial streams or riparian buffers are present within the Site." Please note, Axiom discussed their findings with HUD Greensboro before the above statement was written in their final report.

Supporting documentation

[Axiom Survey Attachments\(1\).pdf](#)

[Axiom 908 Plainview Site Delineation TE Survey Results 231218.pdf](#)

[Wetlands Mapper_908 Plainview Drive_FT.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act provides federal protection for certain free-flowing, wild, scenic and recreational rivers designated as components or potential components of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System (NWSRS) from the effects of construction or development.	The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287), particularly section 7(b) and (c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	36 CFR Part 297

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

Supporting documentation

[Wild and Scenic Rivers Act_908 Plainview Drive_FT.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project creates adverse environmental impacts upon a low-income or minority community. If it does, engage the community in meaningful participation about mitigating the impacts or move the project.	Executive Order 12898	

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. Based on the information collected and reviewed, this project will not create an adverse and disproportionate environmental impact or aggravate an existing impact. The overwhelming benefit is affordable housing needed for this community.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No